RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) FOR

AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF

MON87705 SOYBEAN FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY

NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 600-2/1/7 APPLICANT: MONSANTO (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD. DATE: 7 JULY 2020

I - Summary of Assessment Process

On 13 February 2020, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC, please refer to Appendix 1 for details of GMAC), received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism, improved fatty acid and herbicide tolerant MON87705 soybean. The application was filed by Monsanto (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (hereafter referred to as "the applicant"). There was no request for any additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 8 January 2020 to 5 February 2020 via advertisements in the local newspapers, e-mail announcements and social media. Comments were received from Parti Sosialis Malaysia (PSM), Consumers Association of Penang (CAP) and Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB). GMAC took into consideration the comments from PSM regarding molecular characterization, safety assessment, and the composition of the MON87705 product compared to the conventional soybean, as well as animal toxicity studies. GMAC also took into consideration the comments from MPOB on proper labelling and emergency response plan were considered as well.

GMAC had four (4) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

II - Background of Application

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism MON87705 soybean. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). According to the applicant, MON87705 soybean has been registered in a number of countries for cultivation as well as for food, feed and for processing. MON87705 soybean is approved in the European Union, United States of America, Australia, New Zealand, Canada, China, Colombia, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Philippines, Singapore, Taiwan and Vietnam and may be imported, stored and processed for use in food, animal feed and industrial products in the same way as other conventional, non-transgenic soybean. The type of expected use of the products derived from MON87705 soybean in Malaysia will be the same as the expected usage for products derived from conventional soybean. This application does not cover environmental release and MON87705 may be imported to Malaysia as food or feed products or for further processing.

Information about MON 87705 soybean

The recipient or parental plant is *Glycine max* (soybean). Soybean has a long history of domestication and consumption by humans, and foods containing soybean-derived products are consumed by a large proportion of the global population. (Liu, 2004b).

MON87705 was developed to contain *FATB1-A* and *FAD2-1A* suppression genes which selectively down-regulate two key enzymes, FATB and FAD2, involved in the soybean seed fatty acid biosynthetic pathway. As a results, MON87705 developed to have an unsaturated fatty acid profile similar to olive oil and canola oil, while having less than half the levels of saturated fatty acids of commodity soybean oil. Increased level of monounsaturated 18:1 oleic acid and reduced levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids in MON87705 soybean oil are expected to provide higher oxidative stability than commodity soybean oil. The improved nutritional benefit of decreased saturated fatty acids coupled with the expected increased stability makes it well suited for use in bottled vegetable oil, salad dressings, margarine and other similar food products for which commodity soybean oil is used.

MON87705 also contains the 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase gene derived from Agrobacterium sp. strain CP4 (cp4 epsps), which encodes the CP4 EPSPS protein, conferring tolerance to glyphosate herbicide.

III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

- (i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants.
- (ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).
- (iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC also referred to the following recommendations within the AHTEG guidelines:

- (i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application
- (ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question, and

(iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) <u>Effects on human health</u>

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein / altering / interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, reproductive toxicity, potential transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in digestive tract, pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms, nutritional equivalence and anti-nutritional components.

(ii) Effects on animal health

Issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, anti-nutritional, survivability, and animal product contamination.

(iii) Effects on the environment

Issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, weediness and invasiveness, increased fitness due to genetic modification, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), accumulation of the protein/dsRNA in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/grain and cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes.

Based on the above, a final list of 21 potential hazards was identified. All of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or "negligible".

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document). Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) Accidental release of viable seeds

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities. Soybean is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia, thus, there is no issue of outcrossing.

b) Planting of seeds

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

c) <u>Compromised nutritional content</u>

Except for the intended changes to four major fatty acids, the composition of MON87705 seed is comparable to that of the conventional soybean control. No significant differences between MON87705 and conventional soybean were observed from analysis of proximates, fibre, amino acids, key nutrients and anti-nutrients present in soybean.

However, applicant is required to update the National Biosafety Board immediately if additional tests indicate potential adverse effects or the possible presence of toxin or allergenic proteins.

IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval

Based on the 21 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

- a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.
- b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.
- c) Should the approved person receive any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of MON87705 soybean, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately.
- d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.
- e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed condition.

Risk Assessment Report for MON87705 soybean

V - Other Regulatory Considerations

- a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.
- b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.
- c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.
- d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.
- e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Act 1983 and Food Regulations 1985; and GM food labelling guidelines.
- f) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between Department of Biosafety and Ministry of Health to ensure that herbicide residues in soybean consignments are below the acceptable maximum residual level established. It is recommended that importers are required to provide certificate of analysis for herbicide residues prior to shipment.

VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for long term use release of this product

a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person and any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the MON87705 soybean shall be reported to the National Biosafety Board.

VII – Conclusion and Recommendation

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism MON87705 soybean and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

VIII - Bibliography

- Andre Silvanovich. 2009.Updated Bioinformatics Evaluation of the CP4 EPSPS Protein Utilizing the AD_2009 and TOX_2009 Databases. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0021842 St. Louis, Missouri.
- Bachman P, Fischer J, Song Z,Urbanczyk-Wochniak E and Watson G(2020) Environmental Fate and Dissipation of Applied dsRNA in Soil, Aquatic Systems, and Plants. Front. Plant Sci. 11:21.doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00021
- 3. Crawley, M.J., S.L. Brown, R.S. Hails, D.D. Koh and M. Rees. 2001. Transgenic crops in natural habitats. Nature 409:682-683.
- de Alencar, E.R., L.R.D.A. Faroni, A.F. de Lacerda Filho, L.G. Ferreira and M.R. Meneghitti. 2006. Influence of different storage conditions on soybean grain quality. Pages 30-37 in 9th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.
- 5. Dubelmen et al., Environmental Fate of Double-Stranded RNA in Agricultural Soils. 2014. PLOS ONE. Volume 9. Issue 3.
- FAO-WHO. 2001. Evaluation of allergenicity of genetically modified foods. Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on allergenicity of foods derived from biotechnology. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Fischer, J. R., Zapata, F., Dubelman, S., Mueller, G. M., Uffman, J. P., Jiang, C., et al. (2017). Aquatic fate of a double-stranded RNA in a sediment-water system following an over-water application. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 36, 727–734. doi: 10.1002/etc.3585
- Harrison, L.A., M.R. Bailey, M.W. Naylor, J.E. Ream, B.G. Hammond, D.L. Nida, B.L. Burnette, T.E. Nickson, T.A. Mitsky, M.L. Taylor, R.L. Fuchs and S.R. Padgette. 1996. The expressed protein in glyphosate-tolerant soybean, 5-enolypyruvylshikimate-3phosphate synthase from Agrobacterium sp. strain CP4, is rapidly digested in vitro and is not toxic to acutely gavaged mice. Journal of Nutrition 126:728-740.
- Heisel SE, Zhang Y, Allen E, Guo L, Reynolds TL, et al. (2008) Characterization of Unique Small RNA Populations from Rice Grain. PLoS ONE 3(8): e2871. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002871.
- 10. ILSI-CERA. 2010. A review of the environmental safety of the CP4 EPSPS protein. International Life Sciences Institute, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, Washington, D.C.
- Ivashuta, S.I., J.S. Petrick, S.E. Heisel, Y. Zhang, L. Guo, T.L. Reynolds, J.F. Rice, E. Allen and J.K. Roberts. 2009. Endogenous small RNAs in grain: Semi-quantification and sequence homology to human and animal genes. Food and Chemical Toxicology 47:353-360.
- Jensen, P.D., Y. Zhang, B.E. Wiggins, J.S. Petrick, J. Zhu, R.A. Kerstetter, G.R. Heck and S.I. Ivashuta. 2013. Computational sequence analysis of predicted long dsRNA transcriptomes of major crops reveals sequence complementarity with human genes. GM Crops and Food 4:90-97.

- Lusas, E.W. 2004. Soybean processing and utilization. Pages 949-1045 in Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Third Edition. H.R. Boerma and J.E. Specht (eds.). American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Crop Science Society of America, Inc., Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Liu, J., B. Zhou, C.-y. Yang, Y.-r. Li, L.-x. Jiang, M.-c. Zhang, B. Tao and L.-j. Qiu. 2012. Gene flowing of genetically modified glyphosate-resistant soybean with EPSPS. Soybean Science 31: 517-521.
- 15. Leach, J.N., R.E. Hileman, J.J. Throp, C. George and J.D. Astwood, 2002. Assessment of the in vitro Digestibility of Purified E. coli-produced CP4 EPSPS Protein in Simulated Gastric Fluid. Monsanto Technical Report MSL 17566. St. Louis, Missouri
- Lundry D.R., S.G. Riordan, K.D. Miller and R. Sorbet. 2009. Composition Analyses of Forage and Seed Collected from MON 87705 Produced in Chile during the 2007-2008 Growing Season. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0021756. St. Louis, Missouri.
- Mbofung, G.C.Y., A.S. Goggi, L.F.S. Leandro and R.E. Mullen. 2013. Effects of storage temperature and relative humidity on viability and vigor of treated soybean seeds. Crop Science 53: 1086–1095.
- 18. Naylor, M. W. 1993. Acute Oral Toxicity Study of CP4 EPSPS Protein in Albino Mice. Monsanto Technical Report MSL-13077. St. Louis, Missouri.
- Owen, M.D.K. 2005. Maize and soybeans—Controllable volunteerism without ferality? Pages 149-165 in Crop Ferality and Volunteerism. J. Gressel (ed.) Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, Florida.
- OECD. 2000. Consensus document on the biology of Glycine max (L.) Merr. (Soybean). ENV/JM/MONO(2000)9. Series on Harmonization of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology No.15. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
- 21. Parker M. Kimberly et al.,2019. Environmental Fate of RNA interference Pesticides: Adsorption and Degradation of Double-Stranded RNA in Agricultural Soils. Environ. Science Technology. Vol 53: 3027-3036.
- 22. Petrick, J.S., B. Brower-Toland, A.L. Jackson and L.D. Kier. 2013. Safety assessment of food and feed from biotechnology-derived crops employing RNA-mediated gene regulation to achieve desired traits: A scientific review. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 66:167-176.
- 23. Roberts, A., Y. Devos, A. Raybould, P. Bigelow and A. Gray. 2014. Environmental risk assessment of GE plants under low-exposure conditions. Transgenic Research 23:971-983.
- 24. Silvanovich, A. 2009. Updated Bioinformatics Evaluation of the CP4 EPSPS Protein Utilizing the AD_2009 and TOX_2009 Databases. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0021842. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 25. U.S. FDA. 1992. Statement of policy: Foods derived from new plant varieties. Federal Register 57:22984-23005.
- 26. U.S. FDA. 2001. Premarket notice concerning bioengineered foods. Federal Register 66:4706-4738.

GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT AREAS FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF MON87705 SOYBEAN FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. Each sub-committee had a nominated leader to coordinate the work and report back to the main GMAC. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

- Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA) (GMAC Chairman)
- Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Industry Representative) (Environment sub-committee Leader)
- Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute of Medical Research retired) (Human Health subcommittee Leader)
- Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia retired) (Animal Health sub-committee Leader)
- Dr. Rahizan Issa (Institute of Medical Research retired) (Notification Assessment sub-committee Leader)
- Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Academy of Sciences Malaysia)
- Prof. Dr. Abd Rahman Milan (Universiti Malaysia Sabah retired)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)
- Assoc. Prof. Sharifah Syed Hassan (Monash University Malaysia)
- Dr. Adiratna Mat Ripen (Institute of Medical Research)
- Dr. Norliza Tendot Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
- Dr. Norwati Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
- Dr. Saifullizam bin Abdul Kadir (Department of Veterinary Services)
- Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)
- Madam Atikah binti Abdul Kadir Jailani (Department of Agriculture retired)
- Madam Norizan Jaafar (Department of Chemistry Malaysia)
- Madam Shafini Abu Bakar (Ministry of Health)